

#### SEROLOGICAL, HEMATOLOGICAL AND CLINICAL INSIGHTS INTO AUTOIMMUNE HEMOLYTIC ANEMIA: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY.

Dr P. Mounika<sup>1</sup>, Dr B. Shanthi<sup>2</sup>

Total(%)

31(23.8)

74(56.9)

25(19.2)

94(72.3)

36(27.6)

95(73.0)

35(26.9)

Mixed

Warm

cold

1. Junior Resident 2nd year 2. Professor & HOD



DEPARTMENT OF IMMUNOHAEMATOLOGY AND BLOOD TRANSFUSION, NIZAM'S INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA.

**Patient** 

<15

>46

16-45

**Primary** 

Secondary

Females

males

CASES

60

20

Gender distribution

details(n=130)

Type of AIHA

Age distribution(years)

#### INTRODUCTION

- Autoimmune hemolytic anemias (AIHAs) are rare and heterogeneous disorders characterized by the destruction of red blood cells through autoantibodies leading to anemia that ranges from no symptoms to severe life-threatening hemolysis.
- AIHA is categorized based on temperature sensitivity into warm, cold, and mixed types.
- The autoantibodies involved can be IgG, IgM, IgA, or complement proteins, and the hemolysis may occur either intravascularly or extravascularly.

#### **AIM**

To evaluate the serological characteristics and transfusion management in patients clinically suspected of autoimmune hemolytic

anemia (AIHA).

## **METHODS**

Jan 2019 – sept 2024 Blood grouping DCT and ICT and typing

Monospecific DAT	Alloantibody identification
Haematological	Number of

and biochemical

data

of	
ons	

blood

transfus

# primary secondary

29

### RESULTS



Fig2. Gender distribution mixed, warm and cold AIHA

Immunohematological workup Total(%)

DCT subtype		
IgG only	24(18.4)	
C3d only	05(3.84)	
IgG+C3d	29(22.3)	
IgG,IgM,C3d	14(10.7)	
IgG,IgM,IgA,C3d,C3c	16(12.3)	
AIHA type according to the nature of antibody		

86(66)

24(18)

20(15)

Fig1.	Gender	distribution	in
primary and secondary AIHA			

■ males ■ females

Grouping discrepancy	Warm(5)
	Cold(11)
	Mixed (57)

Tarameter	IKE	1051
Hb(gm/dl)	5.8	6.9
RC%	7.8	7.1
TSB(mg/dl)	3.2	3.8
LDH(U/L)	820	853

## CONCLUSION

- Mixed AIHA is the most prevalent form.
- Significant number patients had grouping discrepancies highlighting the importance of thorough immunohematological evaluations.
- Transfusion even with least incompatible units were safe and effective.